

# Another look at CO<sub>2</sub> emissions modelling

The role of energy prices in developed countries

**Yolanda Pena-Boquete**

**Miguel Rodríguez**

*Universidade de Vigo*

rede

**(Research in Economics, Business and the Environment)**

[www.rede.uvigo.es](http://www.rede.uvigo.es)

**Departamento de  
Economía Aplicada**

---

**Universidade de Vigo**

## Motivation

- The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) theoretical foundations based on three effects:
  - ✓ the scale effect, the structure effect and the abatement effect
- EKC Implications:
  - ✓ Legitimate strategies for no-action against climate change (mainly on Non-Annex I countries)
  - ✓ Reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will occur during the normal course of development
- Global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions might rise by 27% from 2010 to 2030 with an average annual growth rate of 1.2%
- Policy makers should consider to use economic tools (as taxes) that impact on energy prices in order to control the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## Objectives

- To highlight that economic growth in itself does not reduce CO2 emissions.
- To show the influence of energy prices on the CO2 emissions
- To analyse the substitution effects between energy prices.

## Evidence on EKC

- The vast research on the existence of an EKC for per capita CO<sub>2</sub>
  - ✓ The literature was not able to come out with robust conclusions.
  - ✓ When papers do not found evidence of EKC they do found a positive relationship between per capita income and emissions.
- Traditional variables (to explain CO<sub>2</sub> emission growth)
  - ✓ GDP and population growth.
- Additional variables
  - ✓ international trade, globalisation, energy intensity, economic structure of the economy, primary energy use in final energy consumption, the share of fossil fuels...
- There are only 3 papers including energy prices

## EKC and energy prices

- Some authors have included the energy price in the EKC (Agras and Chapman (1999), Heil and Selden (2001) & Richmond and Kaufmann (2006))
  - ✓ EKC for carbon emission is weakened when energy prices and trade variables are included.
  - ✓ Price variable does not show variability between countries
    - Agras and Chapman (1999) & Heil and Selden (2001) use the same variable for all countries (real gasoline prices in the \$US)
    - Richmond and Kaufmann (2006), they use the same deflator for all countries.
  - ✓ They do not account for energy substitution effects
  - ✓ They do not consider the renewable energy

## Empirical analysis (IPAT equation)

$$d_{it} = \delta d_{it-1} + \beta_1 y_{it} + \beta_2 y_{it}^2 + \beta_3 e_{it} + \beta_4 p_{it}^{oil} + \beta_5 p_{it}^{coal} + \beta_6 p_{it}^{gas} + \beta_7 o_{it} + u_{it}$$

- $Y_{it}$  GDP per capita
- $pop_{it}$  Population
- $E_{it}$  Total primary energy consumption per capita
- $P_{it}$  prices of primary energies
- $O_{it}$  capacity for production of renewable energy (output)
- $U_{it}$  the error term

➤ We also include:

- ✓ Specific-year dummies accounting for economic changes affecting all countries.
- ✓ country-specific trend accounting for changes in the behavior over time, for example efficiency over time.

## System GMM

(Blundell and Bond, 1998)

- System GMM performs better than others estimators for estimating dynamic models even for small number of individuals (Soto, 2009)
  - ✓ OLS and FE estimators are biased and inconsistent
    - Lagged variable is correlated with the error term
- System GMM requires a stationarity restriction on the initial conditions
  - ✓ CO2 per capita contains a unit root
    - Including time dummies is enough to satisfy the stationarity condition (Bond, et al. 2001)
- Roodman (2009) recommendations:
  - ✓ In order to reduce excessive numbers of instruments, to “collapse” the instrument set into a single column

## OUR DATA

- Two IEA data bases for 15 OECD countries in the period 1980-2004:
  - ✓ “CO2 emissions from fuel combustion 1971-2004”
  - ✓ “Energy balances 1960-2005”

## OUR HYPOTHESIS

- We consider the energy prices as a key factor explaining the CO2 emission trends in OECD countries.
- We have also estimated the IPAT model as a sort of comparability with other methodologies performed previously in the literature.

## What does the statistic analysis tell us?

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
ln(GDPpc)	0.101	-0.778	0.155*	-0.555*	-0.083	-0.34	-0.336	-0.074	-0.57
Lag_ln(GDPpc)		0.802		0.483*		0.016	0.258		0.472
ln(GDPpc) <sup>2</sup>	-0.018	0.004	-0.047**	0.02	0.001	0.265	0.003	0.011	0.021
ln(Epc)			0.824***	1.121***	0.659***	1.394***	0.650***	1.358***	0.268
Lag_ln(Epc)				-0.933***		-1.200***		-1.112***	
D_ln(Epc)									0.863**
ln(Oil prices)			-0.006*	-0.008*	-0.016***	-0.010*	-0.018***	-0.009	-0.013**
ln(Coal prices)					0.019***	0.007	0.020***	0.008	0.009
ln(Gas prices)					0.028***	0.01	0.027***	0.012	0.012
ln(Renewables)			-0.090***	-0.037*	-0.070***	-0.036***	-0.071***	-0.038***	-0.042***
Lag_ln(GHGpc)	0.967***	0.974***	0.288***	0.820***	0.458***	0.823***	0.458***	0.788***	0.761***
Constant	-0.037	-0.046	5.597***	1	4.452***	1.266	4.368***	1.629	1.767
Observations	390	390	390	390	387	387	387	387	387
Instruments	47	46	53	54	51	62	55	59	55
p value AR(1)	0	0	0.006	0	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0
p-value AR(2)	0.295	0.961	0.45	0.563	0.584	0.206	0.917	0.27	0.682
p-value Sargan	0.142	0.891	0.016	0.499	0.336	0.49	0.191	0.276	0.276

\* p<.1; \*\* p<.05; \*\*\* p<.01,

Year-specific dummies and country trends included

## MAIN LESSONS (1/2)

- The IPAT modelling approach is useless in order to explain the past and even to anticipate the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the near future.
  - ✓ Neither the GDP per capita nor its square appears to be significant, i.e. we do not find evidence for the EKC.
  
- Energy consumption per capita is always an important element explaining CO<sub>2</sub>.
  - ✓ CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics are better explain by changes in per capita energy consumption instead of its level counterparts (current and lagged).

## MAIN LESSONS (2/2)

- The results show that oil prices appear to be significant in all specifications
  - ✓ They present a negative sign (an increase in prices lead to a decrease in emissions).
- Coal and gas prices do not appear to be significant even in cases they show a substitution effect with oil prices.
- Production of renewals shows a negative effect on CO2 emissions
  - ✓ The possibility of using renewable energy is an important tool to decrease the CO2 emissions.

## Policy implications

- Policy makers should concentrate their efforts in giving the correct signals to the markets.
  - ✓ They should not rely on the normal curse of development in order to curb emissions as a result of any improvement on energy efficiency.
  
- Prices and renewable energy are important tools to decrease the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
  - ✓ Before using prices as a tool they should analyse the effects on welfare and income distribution.

**THANKS!!!!!!**

**Yolanda Pena-Boquete**

*Departamento de Economía Aplicada  
Universidad de Vigo*

y.penaboquete@uvigo.es

rede ( Research in Economics, Business and the Environment )

[www.rede.uvigo.es](http://www.rede.uvigo.es)

**Departamento de  
Economía Aplicada**

**Universidad de Vigo**